1	1 LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP Adam M. Apton (SBN 316506)		
2	Adam C. McCall (SBN 302130)		
3	San Francisco, CA 94111		
4	4 Tel.: (415) 373-1671 Email: aapton@zlk.com		
5			
6	6 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counsel for the Class		
7	7		
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
12			
13	3 IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES Case LITIGATION	e No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC	
14		PULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SARDING LOCAL RULE 7 SETTLEMENT	
15	5 CON	NFERENCE	
16	6		
17	Pursuant to ADR Local Rule 7, Plaintiff Glen Li	ittleton and Defendants Tesla, Inc., Elon	
18	R. Musk, Brad W. Buss, Robyn Denholm, Ira Ehrenpreis, Antonio J. Gracias, James Murdoch,		
19	Kimbal Musk, and Linda Johnson Rice (collectively, "Defendants") (collectively, Plaintiff and		
20	Defendants are referred to as the "Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel of record,		
21	submit the following stipulation and proposed order:		
22	$2 \parallel$		
23	3		
24	4		
25	5		
26	6		
27	7		
28	8		
		STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 18-CV-04865-EMC	

1	WHEREAS, at the April 18, 2022 status conference, the Court ordered the parties to meet
2	and confer regarding ADR and stated that the parties should be prepared to discuss ADR at the next
3	status conference;
4	WHEREAS, the Court has scheduled a status conference for July 12, 2022 to specifically
5	discuss progress regarding ADR;
6	WHEREAS, Plaintiff has suggested that the parties engage in a private mediation or a
7	settlement conference pursuant to ADR Local Rule 7;
8	WHEREAS, Plaintiff agrees with the Court that it is appropriate to explore a negotiated
9	resolution to this matter at this time as the Parties have a thorough understanding of the legal and
10	factual issues and risks involved in this action as well as the scope of potential damages that may
11	be awarded at trial;
12	WHEREAS, although Defendants are skeptical the matter can settle given the current
13	posture of the case, in line with the Court's order, they will participate in a settlement conference
14	in good faith;
15	WHEREAS Defendants believe that any such settlement conference should be held after
16	the Court decides the parties "early" motions in limine, which are set to be heard on July 28, 2022;
17	and
18	WHEREAS, Plaintiff believes that the settlement conference can proceed at the earliest date
19	that is convenient to the Parties and the Court;
20	
21	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties request that this matter be referred to a settlement
22	conference to be conducted under ADR Local Rule 7.
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

## Case 3:18-cv-04865-EMC Document 449 Filed 07/01/22 Page 3 of 3 1 DATED: July 1, 2022 LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP 2 3 By: /s/ Adam M. Apton Nicholas I. Porritt (appearing pro hac vice) 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff Glen Littleton and Counsel for the Class 5 6 DATED: July 1, 2022 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP 7 By: /s/ Alex Spiro 8 Alex Spiro (appearing pro hac vice) 9 Attorneys for Tesla, Inc., Elon Musk, Brad W. Buss, Robyn Denholm, Ira Ehrenpreis, Antonio J. Gracias, 10 James Murdoch, Kimbal Musk, And Linda Johnson Rice 11 12 13 14 IT IS SO ORDERED. 15 Dated: \_\_\_July 1, 2022 16 HON. EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28